Abstract

Is Resistance to PVY In NIb Transgenic Tobacco due to Gene Silencing? Tomas Canto, Bong-Nam Chung and Peter Palukaitis Scottish Crop Research Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, UK

Background excitations to Potato virus Y (PVY) was conferred in transportic tob expressing the intact and runcated PVY SIN polymerase) gene, but was observed when the sequences, encoding the GDD domain were deleted from transporte, suggesting that the resistance was protein rather than RNAmedi (Audy et al. (1994) MPM17 1: 522; However, the mechanism of the resist mechanism operated by inhibiting replication and/or movement, who resistance was associated with RNA silencing, and whether resistance transport eventsesion were identical in different generations.

thods at were assessed for resistance to PVY at two different gr toplasts were prepared from transgenic tobacco plants to inv ccts of the PVY transgene on PVY replication. Plants and proto culated with PVY and PVY NAN respectively. Nucleic acids we analyzed by northern blot hybridization to detect PVY sequence

Res Two NIb MB protein of PVY were found to be susceptible (5) or resistant (B) to by PVY, respectively. Is 5 plants, PVP accumulated to levels simila found in non-transformed plants. In R plants, resistance in younger to rhuly estabilished and PVY could be detected in microtification plants, either immunohloriting or mucicie, acid hybridization in either into puper leaves. PVY RNA did not accumulate in protoplast from such Northern bot analysis showed the constitutive presence of siRNA Northern bot analysis showed the constitutive presence of siRNA to sequences in R, Falms. The stand-p-rate levels of NIF margement fill es. PVY RNACE plot analysis showed the co-in R, plants. The steady-star re not the same in two differ plants w

Conclusions The resistance was found to operate via inhibition of viral replicati constitutive presence of siRNAs to NIb sequences in R plants is consist a mechanism of resistance based on RNA silencing, even tho steady-state levels of NIb transgene mRNA were only slightly lower i in S plants in Generation 1.

Is Resistance to PVY in NIb-Transgenic **Tobacco due to RNA Silencing?**

Scottish Crop Research Institute Scottish Crop Research Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, Scotland, UK Tel: 00-44-(0)1382-562731 Fax: 00-44-(0)1382-562426

Tomas Canto, Bong Nam Chung, and Peter Palukaitis

Introduction

Different lines of tobacco plants transformed with a transgene encoding the NIb protein of the ordinary strain of Potato virus Y (PVY-O) were found to be susceptible (S) or resistant (R) to infection by PVY-O. Transgenic lines expressing different truncated forms of the NIb gene failed to induce resistance to PVY-O [Audy et al. (1994) MPMI 7: 15-22]. This result, and the fact that co-inoculation of R plants with PVY-O and Cucumber mosaic virus failed to break the resistance to the former (unpublished) suggested that the resistance mechanism was protein-mediated [Audy et al. (1994) MPMI 7: 15-22]. In light of subsequent work by others on RNA silencing in other systems, the mechanism of resistance to PVY in NIb transgenic R plants was re-examined.

Methods

Two consecutive generations of 20 to 25 day-old NIb transgenic R and S plants were inoculated with PVY-infected sap in detached leaf assays, and viral accumulation in the inoculated leaves was assessed by Western blotting. Nucleic acids were extracted from the same plants to quantify steady-state levels of the NIb transgene mRNA and of siRNAs to NIb

Figur blot a PVY-I days inocue leave transig susce resist non-t (NT), consee gener plants analy lane r indivi The C (CP) o readil NT ar all de plants

Figur North analy NIb t mRN analy susce PVY. repre extra single mRN non-t plant mRN assee contr extra pane

Figur North analy siRN

sequences at the time of inoculation with PVY. Protoplasts prepared from NIb transgenic tobacco plants were transfected with PVY RNA, to investigate the effects of the NIb transgene on PVY replication. The P1/HCPro of PVY-O was transiently expressed in R plants by agroinfiltration. All RNA analysis was made by Northern blotting, using specific RNA probes.

Results

Accumulation of **PVY** in inoculated leaves of R and S plants

At 7 days post-inoculation, PVY accumulated in inoculated leaves of S plants at levels comparable to those of non-transgenic plants, whereas PVY accumulation in inoculated leaves of R plants was either not detectable or very poor (Figure 1A).

Steady-state levels of NIb transgenic mRNA in R and S plants.

The steady-state levels of transgenic NIb transcripts were only slightly lower in R than in S plants, in Generation 1, but were much higher in S than in R plants in Generation 2 (Figure 1B).

		1210.0020	12230			12
ulation at 7		GENERA'	Line R	-	GENERATIO	n Z n R
tion (dpi), in		1 2 3 4 5 NT	1 2 3 4 5 NT 10		1234 12	3 4 NT
of NIb	020230		= -32			= PVY CP
nic	(detached avaf avaay)		-		10 mm an an	
tible (S),	1.0					
negenic						
ants. Two						
utive						
ions of	1B c	ter di stata faciali		and a Photo In	D and C alar	
vere d Fach	ID. S	teady-state levels	s of NIb transge	enic mixina in	R and S plan	ts.
presents an		CENEDATION 1			NEDATION 2	
ial plant.		GENEROTION			INEPONITON 2	
at Protein				Line S	Line R	NT
PVY Was detected in	NT -	Line S Line	R	1234	1 2 3 4 1	2
S plants,	121	2345123	4 0	81112		
ely or not at	*			2111		
cted in R	-		NID mRN		the second	
1B.	-			_		
n blot	1000		Ubiquite			-
s of the	1911	122223121	mRNA		10.000.000	
Isgene						
n the plants						
d in 1A for	32.2					
ach lane	1C. A	ocumulation of si	RNAs to NIb se	equences in l	R and S plants	3
nts RNA						
ed from a		GENERATION 1	_	GE	VERATION 2	
lant. NT =			5			
nsformed				Line S	Line R 1	NT.
Jbiguitin	12	3 4 5 1 2 3	4.5	1234	1 2 3 4 1	2
evels were	104	ALC: NO. OF ALC: NO	the second	19.00	2.22	
ed as a	- 815	A DECEMBER OF	ND SRNP	a laborer	The Date	
on (lower	100	and Sectors	gan gan	Sec. 1	and the second	100
	1 Mail		EtBr			
	1 182					
1C.						
of NIb						
from same						
from same nalysed in 1A ar	nd 1B. Ea	ch lane represer	nts an individu	al plant. Th	e lower pane	lis

Accumulation of siRNAs to NIb sequences in R and S plants

The presence of siRNAs derived from a transgene is indicative of RNA silencing of the transgene. Little to no siRNAs to NIb sequences were detected in extracts from S plants in Generation 1 or 2, while such siRNAs were found in extracts from R plants of both Generations (Figure 1C).

PVY RNA accumulation in protoplasts from R and S plants

Resistance operating at the level of inhibition of virus replication was assessed by transfection of mesophyll protoplasts prepared from R and S transgenic plants. PVY RNA was able to accumulate in protoplasts made from S plants, but not from R plants (Figure 2, left). That R protoplasts were not refractory to transfection by viral RNA was shown by infection and accumulation of TMV RNA in R and S protoplasts (Figure 2, right).



Effect of a silencina suppressor on NIb transgene mRNA accumulation in R and S plants Transient expression by agroinfiltration of the PVY-O P1/HC-Pro silencing suppressor in leaves of Generation 2 B plants resulted in an increase in the level of the NIb mRNA (Figure 3).



Conclusions

Detection of siRNAs derived from the NIb transgene mRNA in R, but not S plants suggests that RNA silencing of the transgene mRNA [and of any challenging homologous virus] is occurring in the R plants. That the NIb mRNAs constitutively transcribed in the R plants were being partially silenced was shown by the increase in its steady-state level following the transient expression of the PVY-O P1/HCPro suppressor of gene silencing. However, expression in cis of the same suppressor from inoculated PVY-O failed to counteract efficiently the resistance. Since the transgene sequences in both R and S plants are identical, it is difficult to imagine how a protein-mediated component of resistance can operate in the R, but no in the S plants. The data therefore indicate that RNA silencing is responsible for most and possibly all of the resistance to PVY in the R plants, and that the extent of RNA silencing of the transgene was greater in Generation 2 than in Generation 1.